



www.kppblaw.com

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Feeder Funds Primer

A feeder fund (“Feeder”) is an investment vehicle, often a limited partnership, that pools capital commitments of investors and invests or “feeds” such capital into an umbrella fund, often called a master fund (“Master”), which directs and oversees all investments held in the Master portfolio. A Master/Feeder structure is commonly used by private equity funds or hedge funds (“Funds”) to pool investment capital. The Master’s profits may be split on a pro rata basis among its Feeders in proportion to their investment. A Feeder is a separate legal entity from the Master and is relevant to both lenders and Funds when discussed in the context of lending relationships, particularly in structuring a subscription-backed credit facility (“Facility”).

Investment managers choose to form Feeders for a variety of reasons. For example, Feeders offer flexibility with respect to investor tax status, ERISA status, minimum capital investments, fee structures or other administrative features that can be tailored to the specific needs of any investor. In this article, we will focus on certain tax, ERISA and aggregation issues as they relate to the Master/Feeder structure of Funds.

Tax Concerns

Tax-exempt investors and foreign investors are two significant sources of capital in the United States and both groups invest heavily in Funds. Most tax-exempt investors will want to minimize or eliminate the realization of unrelated business taxable income (“UBTI”) with respect to their investments. Similarly, most foreign investors will want to minimize or eliminate the realization of effectively connected income (“ECI”) and structure their investments in a manner that does not require them to file US income tax returns. If a Fund makes its investments in or through passthrough entities, the Fund’s tax-exempt investors may realize UBTI or its foreign investors may realize ECI and have to file US tax returns if the Fund is engaged in a trade or business in the United States.

In order to attract UBTI- or ECI-sensitive investors, many Funds offer Feeders through which such investors may participate in the Master’s investments. Properly structured, these Feeders operate to “block” UBTI and ECI with minimal tax leakage. Although Feeders formed to act as blockers are usually formed in a low tax jurisdiction (such as the Cayman Islands), the domicile and precise structure and tax classification of the Feeder will depend on the nature of the Fund and its investments, as well as the tax structuring objectives and/or regulatory requirements applicable

to the prospective investor(s). A variety of UBTI and ECI blocking strategies exist, including the use of debt and equity to capitalize the Feeder and forming separate Feeders for each fund investment. In addition, tax treaties may reduce the overall tax cost of a Feeder formed for foreign investors. Each approach to the structuring and implementation of Feeders to accomplish tax objectives carries with it advantages and disadvantages that a Fund sponsor should discuss with its tax advisors.

ERISA Concerns

Once a Fund or a Feeder accepts investors that are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”), or Section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), the entity could itself become subject to the fiduciary and prohibited transaction rules under ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code if the assets of such Fund or Feeder are deemed to be “plan assets” of such investors. The rules governing when the assets of an entity are treated as plan assets are generally set forth in Section 3(42) of ERISA and a regulation, known as the “plan asset regulation,” published by the US Department of Labor.⁴ The plan asset regulation sets forth a number of exceptions on which a Fund may rely to avoid plan asset treatment. The exceptions most commonly relied upon for Funds are the “less than 25% exception” and the “operating company” exception.

In a Master/Feeder structure, it may be difficult for a Feeder to satisfy an exception to holding plan assets because (i) the Feeder may be too passive to qualify as a “venture capital operating company” (“VCOC”) or a “real estate operating company” (“REOC”) and (ii) investment by benefit plan investors in the Feeder may be too significant to satisfy the less than 25% exception. In such a case, a Fund manager may permit the Feeder to operate as a plan asset vehicle that is subject to Title I of ERISA and/or Section 4975 of the Code. The Master, which will aggregate capital from all of its Feeders and investors, may still be able to rely on the less than 25% exception or may be able to qualify as a VCOC or REOC. If a Feeder is operated as a plan asset vehicle, such Feeder is typically “hard-wired” to invest in the Master, so that all investment activities will take place (and all fees and expenses will be calculated) at the Master level. Accordingly, although the Feeder may be subject to ERISA, the manager of the Feeder will not be acting as an ERISA fiduciary with respect to the investment of the Feeder’s assets.

If a Master satisfies one or more exceptions under the plan asset regulation, it would not be subject to the prohibited transaction rules of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code. A plan asset Feeder, however, would nonetheless be subject to such prohibited transaction provisions. Except where specifically exempted by statute or by the US Department of Labor, ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code impose prohibitions on specified transactions between benefit plan investors and a wide class of persons (alternately referred to as “parties in interest” or “disqualified persons”) who, by reason of position or relationship, might be in a position to influence a plan

fiduciary's exercise of discretion. One of the specified transactions is any loan or other extension of credit.

With respect to lenders, financial institutions often have relationships with benefit plan investors that cause them to become parties in interest or disqualified persons, as applicable, such as providing trustee, custodian, investment management, brokerage, escrow or other services to such benefit plan investors. A party in interest or disqualified person that enters into a nonexempt prohibited transaction with a benefit plan investor is subject to initial excise tax penalties under the Code equal to 15 percent of the amount involved in the transaction and a second tier excise tax of 100 percent of the amount involved in the transaction if the transaction is not timely corrected. In order to correct the transaction, the transaction must be unwound, to the extent possible, and the benefit plan investor must be made whole for any losses. In addition, if a transaction is prohibited under ERISA, it may not be enforceable against the benefit plan investor.

High Net Worth Individuals

Feeders may also allow Funds to tap into an increasingly relevant investor segment: high net-worth individuals ("HNWI"). A HNWI is defined as an individual that has investible assets in excess of \$1 million and these individuals are increasingly seeking the opportunity to invest in Funds.⁶ Minimum capital requirements for Funds are customarily in amounts that even HNWI may have difficulty satisfying, often requiring a minimum capital commitment of \$5 million. In an effort to bridge the gap between the traditional minimum capital requirements that Funds require and the desire of HNWI to have access to the investment portfolio that Funds offer, Feeders that aggregate the capital commitments of HNWI ("HNW Aggregator Funds") have become an increasingly popular investment vehicle.

A HNW Aggregator Fund may be organized by a private bank or brokerage firm; it allows HNWI to commit assets held in a traditional brokerage or retirement account in amounts as little as \$50,000 to an investment that will ultimately be aggregated with similar commitments from other HNWI and pooled into a HNW Aggregator Fund. The popularity of such HNW Aggregator Funds can be seen in the increased level of capital pouring into them. In the 12 months ending September

2022, Blackstone raised \$10 billion through such HNW Aggregator Funds run by brokers and through its other retail offerings, out of a total of \$54.8 billion Blackstone raised. This represented a sharp increase from 2021, when Blackstone raised just \$2.7 billion through these channels out of a total of \$49.5 billion. Sensing the growing demand for the HNW Aggregator Fund product, the broker-dealer arms of financial institutions, such as Goldman Sachs, Citibank, Morgan Stanley and Merrill Lynch, are offering opportunities for HNWI to participate in such Feeders.

Challenges Facing Lenders and Funds in a Facility with a Feeder

The variety of Feeders (and their related investors) that ultimately invest in a Master have important implications for both lenders and Funds in structuring a Facility where the borrowing base is directly correlated to the lender's reliance on the ability of investors to fund capital commitments. In a typical Facility, for instance, a lender will advance cash to a Fund on the basis that the Fund can make a capital call with respect to the capital commitment of its investors in order to satisfy its repayment obligations. The borrowing base of the Fund will be calculated based on such lender's view of the probability that the investors in such Fund (and therefore the ability of the investors in each Feeder) will make capital contributions when required by the Fund.⁷

When developing a borrowing base formula for any given Fund, a lender will be focused on the sufficiency of the "know-your-customer" ("KYC") and financial reporting information that it receives with respect to the investors in a Feeder. As part of the diligence process that all lenders undertake when establishing a Facility with any borrower, a lender will customarily gather KYC and financial information that allows such lender to make both regulatory and commercial decisions regarding a potential borrower. Such information may include the tax status of such entity or individual, sources of income or funds for purposes of repayment of any obligations owing to the lender, the intended use of any loan proceeds and the assets, liabilities and financial strength of the investor. The ability of a lender to collect KYC and financial information is critical for such lender not only to ensure compliance with any regulations applicable to it, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 or the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act, but also to properly underwrite the investor pool forming the borrowing base.

Gathering KYC and financial information with respect to investors in an HNW Aggregator Fund may present additional challenges to both lenders and Funds. Collecting KYC information with respect to HNWI in a Feeder requires lenders (and to a certain extent, the Fund itself) to rely primarily on the HNW Aggregator Fund sponsor (i.e., the broker-dealer that has established the Feeder comprised of HNWI) to provide KYC information with respect to relevant HNWI. The HNW Aggregator Fund sponsor must be able to properly gather KYC information that the lender will rely on to make a commercial decision about the liquidity and financial strength of such HNWI and their ability to meet capital commitments to the HNW Aggregator Fund, but also to ensure that such lender is in compliance with applicable regulations. In some cases, lenders rely on HNW Aggregator Fund sponsors to make representations with respect to HNWI creditworthiness. Lenders may find it difficult to place such a high reliance on a third-party HNW Aggregator Fund sponsor to provide such critical information.

Conclusion

The growing complexity of Funds and their increased reliance on Feeders requires that lenders and Funds recognize the dynamics of the capital call commitments for investors in Feeders and the implications Feeders can have

on the borrowing base and security structure of any Facility. Experienced legal counsel can assist both lenders and Funds in balancing the needs of a lender for adequate security and diligence with respect to investors against the ability of a Fund to utilize the available borrowing base of investors in Feeders to the fullest extent. Properly structuring and documenting these types of Facilities can facilitate and meet the needs of both lender and Fund.

Contact Raj R. Mahale, Esq. for more information:

Raj R. Mahale

Partner, Investment Management, M&A, and International Transactions

KPPB LAW

Direct: 203.570.0308

Main: 678.443.2220

Fax: 678.443.2230

Email: rmahale@kppblaw.com

[Website](#) | [Blog](#) | [Facebook](#) | [News](#)

575 FIFTH AVENUE – SUITE 1400

NEW YORK, NY 10017

470 JAMES STREET

NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06513

[GEORGIA](#) | [NEW YORK](#) | [VIRGINIA](#) | [CONNECTICUT](#) | [NEW MEXICO](#) | [ILLINOIS](#)

KPPB LAW is certified as a Minority Business Enterprise by the National Minority Supplier Development Council (NMSDC), & a long-time, law-firm member of the National Association of Minority and Women Owned Law Firms (NAMWOLF).

Investment Management Legal Services

LEGAL SUPPORT FOR FUND MANAGERS

Access U.S. investors and streamline legal complexity

Raising U.S. capital shouldn't feel complicated—or intimidating. At KPPB LAW, we work side by side with fund managers to make structuring U.S. investment funds straightforward, efficient, and built for growth. Our Investment Management Team brings deep experience across venture capital, private equity, hedge funds, real estate funds, and feeder and master-feeder structures, both in the U.S. and offshore, specifically with Cayman, BVI, Singapore, UAE, and India.

What sets KPPB LAW apart is our expertise and genuine commitment to service. We don't just draft documents—we guide, anticipate, and simplify. From fund formation and regulatory compliance to investor negotiations, disclosures, and ongoing operations, our Investment Management team delivers clear advice and practical execution at every step, helping fund managers move forward with confidence.

Whether you're launching your first U.S. fund or refining a multi-tier cross-border structure, we focus on creating solutions that align with your strategy, your investors, and your growth by gaining access to U.S. investment capital. **Contact: Raj Mahale at rmahale@kppblaw.com**



NAMWOLF

Super Lawyers®

Chambers
TOP RANKED

kppblaw.com

Helping businesses thrive. Since 2003.



Investment Management Legal Services

Legal Support for Fund Managers

Effectively manage investment funds through changing economic environments with KPPB LAW's globally recognized investment management expertise. Led by NYC-based Partner Raj Mahale, our Investment Management team brings decades of experience guiding clients through every stage of the fund life cycle—from capital raising and fund formation to ongoing operations and global investing. We advise private funds, investment advisers, mutual funds, institutional investors, and family offices, delivering practical, business-minded counsel across U.S. and international markets. With deep capabilities in private placements, fund structuring, feeder funds, international financial regulation, derivatives and capital markets transactions, and dedicated emerging managers and family office practices, KPPB LAW partners with clients to build resilient structures and navigate complexity with confidence. Learn more at www.kppblaw.com/investment-management/

KPPB LAW

**Helping businesses
thrive. Since 2003.**

kppblaw.com

About KPPB LAW

As one of the largest South-Asian owned law firms in the United States—that is a minority-owned enterprise certified by the National Minority Supplier Development Council, AV-rated by Martindale Hubbell, and an early member of the National Association of Minority and Women Owned Law Firms—KPPB LAW helps business thrive.

Founded in 2003 by four lawyers of South-Asian descent, Sonjui Kumar, Kirtan Patel, Roy Banerjee, and Nick Prabhu, Atlanta-based KPPB LAW today is a team of 25 attorneys with talented support staff in six United States. We are multilingual and advise U.S. businesses of all sizes across a variety of industries on business transactions, litigation, and we also guide foreign businesses with interests in the United States. Learn more about all our business law services at www.kppblaw.com